	1	Traeger Machetanz, Esq. Thomas R. Krider, Esq.					
	$2 \parallel$	OLES MORRISON RINKER & BAKER LLP 745 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 502 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Phone: 907-258-0106					
	3						
	4	Fax: 907-258-5519					
	5	Attorneys for Nugget Construction Co. Inc. and USF&G, Defendants					
	6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
	7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA AT ANCHORAGE					
	8		TANOHONAGE				
	9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for the use of NORTH STAR TERMINAL & STEVEDORE					
	10	COMPANY, d/b/a NORTHERN STEVEDORING & HANDLING, and NORTH STAR TERMINAL &) No. 3:98-cv-00009-TMB				
	11	STEVEDORING COMPANY, d/b/a Northern Stevedoring & Handling, on its own behalf,					
	12	Plaintiff,					
	13	and					
	14	UNITED STATE OF AMERICA for the use of	NUGGET'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS				
	15	SHORESIDE PETROLEUM INC., d/b/a Marathon Fuel Service, and SHORESIDE PETROLEUM	TO ITS EXHIBITS				
	16	INC., d/b/a Marathon Fuel Service, on its own behalf,					
WER & BAKER LLP venue, Suite 502 a. 99501-2136 Fax: (907) 258-5519	17	Intervening Plaintiffs,					
	18	and					
	19	METCO, INC.,					
	20	Intervening Plaintiff,					
	21	VS.					
NKEI Avenue ka 99 Fax:	22	NUGGET CONSTRUCTION INC.; SPENCER					
OLES MORRISON RINKER & BAKER 745 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 502 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2136 Tel: (907) 258-0106 Fax: (907) 258-55	23	ROCK PRODUCTS INC.; UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY; and					
	24	ROBERT A. LAPORE,					
	25	Defendants.					
OLES Tel:	ا د						

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Defendant Nugget Construction, Inc. hereby responds to the objections made by plaintiff North Star to Nugget's proposed exhibits:

Response to Objections to Exhibits F and G: Exhibits F and G are business records of Northrim Bank (Spencer Rock Products' bank during the project) and Nugget will call a bank witness to confirm the record's admissibility or, alternatively, establish the admissibility of the records through FRE 902(11). To the extent the business records contain information from Mr. LaPore, they are not hearsay as they are admissions of a party-opponent per FRE 801(d)(2). The relevance of ownership of SRP, represented condition of the equipment, and duration of lease are relevant to issues raised by North Star concerning the alleged control over SRP by Nugget and the alleged motivations therefore. Moreover, information contained in this document may be used to undermine the credibility of Mr. LaPore, depending on his testimony.

Response to Objection to Exhibit H. Nugget believes Exhibit H is a document prepared by Mr. LaPore and intends to use Mr. LaPore to authenticate the document.

Response to Objection to Exhibit J. Nugget agrees that page 5 should be removed from the exhibit.

Response to Objection to Exhibit AO. This exhibit is a letter sent from North Star's former attorney to Spencer Rock Products' former attorney. Nugget intends to use Mr. LaPore to authenticate the document. The document is not hearsay within hearsay as it reflects communications between agents of party-opponents per FRE

801(d)(2). The exhibit is relevant insofar as the last paragraph on page 1 is indicative of
possible witness bias, i.e., Plaintiff North Star and Defendant Spencer Rock Products
cooperating to focus liability on Nugget.

Response to Objection to Exhibit AP. This exhibit is the settlement agreement between Nugget and Spencer Rock Products resolving their State Court litigation. The LaPore affidavit is irrelevant to the issues of this case, but Nugget will attempt to locate and provide a copy of the affidavit. The document is relevant to establish that Spencer Rock Products (and similarly North Star) has released any right to complain about the validity of the Nugget set-offs and backcharges. The document also confirms the armslength nature of the relationship between Nugget and Spencer Rock Products. The document does not violate FRE 408 because North Star has placed the scope of the settlement agreement in issue when it asserts Nugget's set-offs and backcharges were improper, or if it argues that Nugget still owes money to Spencer Rock Products.

Response to Objection to Exhibit AS. Exhibit AS is a summary allowed pursuant to FRE 1006. The summary is based on trial exhibits relating to Spencer Rock Products anticipated expenditures, and the modifications relating to those expenditures. Nugget intends to use Mr. LaPore to authenticate and verify this summary.

Response to Objection to Exhibit BB. Exhibit BB is an admission of a party-opponent per FRE 801(d)(2). It is relevant insofar as it establishes North Star's own attorney characterized the contractual relationship as being between North Star and Spencer Rock Products, not Nugget.

U.S. ex rel. North Star et al. v. Nugget Construction et al. Case No. 3:98-cv-00009-TMB NUGGET'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO ITS EXHIBITS -- Page 3 of 7

Response to Objection to Exhibit BC. Exhibit BC is a pertinent portion of the equipment blue book prepared by DataQuest. Nugget (and presumably North Star) personnel can authenticate and verify this document. It is relevant to rebut North Star's claim for lost equipment earnings in connection with its tortuous interference claim and reflects rates as of the end of 1997 and 1998, the project being performed in 1997.

Response to Objection to Exhibit BE. Exhibit BE is a selected provision of the prime contract between the Government and Nugget. Given the voluminous nature of the contract, Nugget believes only providing the relevant portions of the contract is appropriate.

Response to Objection to Exhibits BP, BQ and CH. Exhibits BP, BQ and CH are notes believed to be taken by Randy Randolph setting forth discussions involving Bob LaPore. The notes are either existing statements of intent (admissible under FRE 803(3)), a business record (admissible under 803(6)), or a present sense impression (admissible under 803(1)). The information relates to Nugget's expectations of Spencer Rock Products' performance and the relationship between Nugget and Spencer Rock Products, including the need to enter into the support agreement and the charges arising therefrom. The information also bears upon the credibility of Mr. LaPore (whose statements are admissible as statements by a party-opponent).

Response to Objections to Exhibit CO. Exhibit CO is notes believed to be taken by Randy Randolph. The notes are a business record (admissible under 803(6)), or are a present sense impression (admissible under 803(1)). The information contained in

U.S. ex rel. North Star et al. v. Nugget Construction et al. Case No. 3:98-cv-00009-TMB NUGGET'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO ITS EXHIBITS -- Page 4 of 7

the notes related to Spencer Rock Products' equipment available for the project and

Response to Objections to Exhibit CY. Exhibit CY is Robert Fox's project diary, a business record per FRE 803(6) that will be verified and authenticated by Mr. Fox. Mr. Fox's project diary includes references to time that he spent at the Spencer Pit and what steps Nugget took to increase production—which relates to the reasonableness of Nugget's actions and their alleged "control" over Spencer Rock Products.

Response to Objections to Exhibit DE. Exhibit DE is a summary allowed pursuant to FRE 1006. The summary is of COE payments and Nugget payments based on unobjectionable business records (including COE progress payment records and Nugget statements of charges) and will be verified by Nugget personnel. North Star incorrectly characterizes Nugget's motion in limine as limiting evidence of withholding; the Nugget motion actually seeks to preclude North Star stating that the withholdings were not proper.

Response to Objections to Exhibit DP. Exhibit DP is pertinent portions of Greg Poynor's business diary, a business record per FRE 803(6) or existing statement of intent per FRE 803(3). Mr. Poynor will verify and authenticate Exhibit DP is his diary. The diary was previously provided to North Star in redacted form without objection (the redactions are for unrelated projects) and the entries reflect Nugget's relationship with Spencer Rock Products and Spencer's performance, both of which are relevant to this dispute.

U.S. ex rel. North Star et al. v. Nugget Construction et al. Case No. 3:98-cv-00009-TMB
NUGGET'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S
OBJECTIONS TO ITS EXHIBITS -- Page 5 of 7

745 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 502 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2136 Tel: (907) 258-0106 Fax: (907) 258-5519

25

OLES MORRISON RINKER & BAKER LLP

1

Response to Objections to Exhibit DZ. Exhibit DZ is a summary printout of costs (a Nugget business record) that will be authenticated and verified by Greg Poynor. Once again, North Star incorrectly characterizes Nugget's motion in limine as limiting evidence of withholding; the Nugget motion actually seeks to preclude North Star stating that the withholdings were not proper.

Dated: July 13, 2007

OLES MORRISON RINKER & BAKER LLP Attorneys for Nugget Construction Inc. and United States Fidelity and Guaranty Co

By: /s/ Thomas R. Krider Traeger Machetanz machetanz@oles.com Alaska Bar No. 8411127 Thomas R. Krider krider@oles.com Washington Bar No. 29490 745 West 4th Ave., Suite 502 Anchorage, AK 99501

Phone: (907) 258-0106 Fax: (907) 258-5519

	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
519	17
AKER e 502 136) 258-5	18
JK & B Le, Suit 9501-2 : (907)	19
AINKE 1 Avem aska 9 Fax	20
A MOKKISON KINKEK & BAKE) 745 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 502 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2136 : (907) 258-0106 Fax: (907) 258-5	21
JOKK 5 West Anchor 07) 258	212223
OLES MORKISON KINKEK & BAKER LLP 745 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 502 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2136 Tel: (907) 258-0106 Fax: (907) 258-5519	23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

25

CFRTI	IFICATI	F OF S	SERVICE
		_	

I hereby certify that on this 13th day of July, 2007, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served electronically on:

David W. Pease dwp@bpk.com Burr, Pease & Kurtz 810 N Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Steven J. Shamburek, Esq. shamburek@gci.net

Law Office of Steven J. Shamburek 425 G Street, Suite 630 Anchorage, AK 99501-5872

Herbert A. Viergutz, Esq. barmar@gci.net Barokas Martin & Tomlinson 1029 West Third, Suite 280 Anchorage, AK 99501

Served by mail on:

Robert LaPore P.O. Box 640030 Beverly Hills FL 34464

OLES MORRISON RINKER & BAKER LLP

By: /s/ Thomas R. Krider

P-TM NUGGET'S RESPS TO PLF'S OBJS TO EXHS 071207 993100002

U.S. ex rel. North Star et al. v. Nugget Construction et al. Case No. 3:98-cv-00009-TMB NUGGET'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO ITS EXHIBITS -- Page 7 of 7